

Working with care — improving working relationships in health and social care:

self-assessment tools for health and social care teams



Healthy workplace, healthy you Dignity at work

Acknowledgements

This document was originally prepared in 2005 by Dr Diane Beale and Dr Phil Leather from the Institute of Work, Health and Organisations at the University of Nottingham.

The RCN has updated the introduction to this guidance to reflect up to date research. The self-assessment tools remain the same. A number of research papers cited in the original document remain the same as they are still valid.

The RCN would like to reiterate their thanks to the organisations who took place in the original focus groups and pilot studies:

- Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham
- RCN Clinical Leadership Group
- University of Nottingham School of Nursing
- Chelsea and Westminster Healthcare NHS Trust, Thomas Macauley Ward
- Marie Curie Nursing Service, Tiverton.



Section 3: suggestions for team action plan 28

C. Agreed team action plan

D. Follow-up progress form

E. Monitoring form 1

F. Monitoring form 2

Contents

1. Introduction	4	4. Appendices:
Aims of the initiative	5	documents for participants
Structure of the tools	6	A. Individual assessment tool
2. Facilitator's notes	7	Introduction to the self-assessment tools
Using the tools	7	Completing the individual assessment tool
Requirements for facilitators	7	Section 1: common positive behaviours
Role of the team manager	7	Section 2: common negative behaviours
Preparation	8	Section 3: friendly behaviours open to misinterpretation
Procedure	8	Section 4: retrieval behaviours
Follow-up	10	
Safeguards	11	Section 5: reflection
3. References	12	B. Team assessment tool
J. References	12	Introduction
		Section 1: team supportiveness
		Section 2: problem scenarios



Introduction

Through our working life we interact with many other people. The quality of these interactions can have a profound effect on our health and wellbeing, and our enjoyment of work. There is also a direct link between staff health and wellbeing and the quality of care. For example, research by Maben et al (2012) shows that patient experiences are generally better when staff feel they have a good local team and group work-group climate; co-worker support; supervisor support as well as job satisfaction; a positive organisational culture; organisational support and low emotional exhaustion.

Ideally, we enjoy good working relationships in supportive teams. However, most people encounter a mixture of positive and negative experiences in their relationships with colleagues. Working with care is a set of tools designed to encourage health and social care staff to examine their interactions with colleagues, to enhance the positive interactions and to increase awareness of negative interactions, some of which can amount to bullying and harassment. The RCN has identified bullying and harassment as problems that a significant proportion members encounter at work. Indeed, a number of studies have demonstrated that bullying and harassment are widespread in health care (RCN, 2012; Illing et al, 2013).



The terms bullying and harassment are used to describe behaviour that clearly causes humiliation, offence or distress, and that may interfere with job performance and/or cause an unpleasant working environment.

Bullying behaviour has been classified into five broad types:

- threat to professional status
- threat to personal standing
- isolation
- overwork
- destabilisation.

Harassment involves negative behaviour concerning a colleague's gender, sexual orientation, race, religion, age or disability. More detail is provided in the explanatory notes for the individual assessment tool. Work-related bullying and harassment should be seen not just as problems for the individuals involved, but also for the employing organisations. They are often caused by the interaction of organisational structures and systems with the individuals working in them. Consequently, there is no one remedy that will solve these problems. It is only by constant vigilance and a combination of measures integrated into the structures and the systems of work, and absorbed into the culture, that these problems can be tackled. Attention has to be paid to prevention of problems in the first place, to reaction or response when potential problems occur, and to rehabilitation of staff and organisational learning after a problem has occurred. Measures to reduce the occurrence and the effect of such problems have to involve staff at all levels of an organisation, and are implemented by the organisation centrally, by work teams and by individual workers. Such an integrated organisational approach examines policies, systems, procedures, practice and behaviour to ensure that they encourage a supportive culture.

Many health care organisations now have policies and procedures designed to address problems when they have occurred. However, little is in place to promote a change in culture so that bullying and harassment are less likely to occur in the first place. The RCN endorses a proactive approach to reducing bullying and harassment, with the aim of encouraging "a workplace culture in which everybody treats their colleagues with dignity and respect, and where all steps are taken to minimise the occurrence of bullying and harassment" (RCN. 2015). The establishment of such a culture requires action at organisational, work team and individual level. For example, the organisation should ensure that all its policies and systems regarding, for example, management structures, performance targets, appraisals and complaints procedures, are fair and do not implicitly encourage bullying or harassment. In addition, it should publicise its opposition to bullying and harassment by appointing advisers and devising equitable complaints procedures. The work team similarly can examine its procedures and practices to ensure that there is no inherent bullying, for instance of students, support staff or junior members of the team. In addition, for culture to change, individuals need to examine and change their own behaviour. Incremental improvements in individual's behaviour then influence other people's behaviour, reversing the downward spiral of incivility (Andersson and Pearson, 1999). If such a change took place in a significant proportion of the workforce simultaneously, then real improvement in the culture might result.

Working with care is a suite of tools for use in work teams at any level of an organisation. If the tools were endorsed for use throughout an organisation, they could assist in changing the culture to be more caring of staff and less accepting of bullying behaviour. These tools are only one part of an integrated approach to tackling bullying and harassment. Health and social care organisations should examine their policies, procedures, systems and practice to ensure fairness in normal working, to discourage a culture of bullying and harassment, and to guarantee fair and rigorous investigation of complaints.

Aims of the initiative

These tools are intended to:

- act as a means for individuals and teams to examine, and reflect on, their own behaviour
- encourage positive behaviour
- discourage negative behaviour
- increase understanding of behaviour that may be perceived to be bullying or harassment (whether intended or not)
- increase awareness of behaviour that might contribute to a climate in which antisocial behaviour is tolerated
- increase acceptance of strategies that might be used to retrieve a problem situation
- help to produce a supportive culture or team climate where bullying and harassment are unlikely to occur.

These tools are not designed to solve major bullying, harassment or other interpersonal problems between individuals at work. They should not be used with teams where such major problems are suspected.

The tools focus on the interplay between individual behaviour and team climate, and are designed to be relevant for teams at all levels of an organisation. Some fundamental principles for the approach taken are that:

- improvement in individual behaviour, team climate and organisational culture includes increasing positive behaviour as well as decreasing negative behaviour
- people may be more willing to think about negative behaviour when considered alongside positive behaviour
- individual behaviour and interactions in work teams need to be considered together for effective learning and improvement in team climate

 ideally, team exercises should be carried out by overlapping teams covering all levels of an organisation, including senior management, in order for the tools to be effective as a vehicle for improvement of overall organisational culture.

Note: Overlapping teams are teams that have one or more members in common. For example, a ward manager would not only be a member of a ward team but also a member of at least one management team.

Work teams might include, for example:

- people who work on a particular ward (nurses, health care assistants, clerical and other support staff, other clinical staff such as doctors, physiotherapists.
- staff of a community clinic
- the management board
- managers for a department or division
- a theatre team.

Structure of the tools

The tools consist of:

- individual assessment tool (IAT) to be completed by individuals in private before the group session (see Appendix A, page 13)
- team assessment tool (TAT) to be completed during a group session (see Appendix B, page 25)
- agreed team action plan (ATAP) to be completed at the end of the group session (see Appendix C, page 29)
- follow-up progress form (FPF) to encourage implementation of agreed action (see Appendix D, page 30).

You will need to download and/or photocopy these tools from this publication and supply the appropriate number of copies to the work team.



2. Facilitator's notes

Using the tools

These tools are intended for use in the majority of health and social care teams, where interactions between team members are within the normal range of positive and negative behaviour, or where relatively minor problems are suspected. Raising awareness of interpersonal behaviour and team supportiveness should help to prevent future problems and improve existing minor problems, for example where people are unaware that some of their behaviour could be perceived by others as bullying or harassment.

This tool is not designed to solve major bullying, harassment or other interpersonal problems between individuals at work. It should not be used with teams where such major problems are known or suspected.

Where major problems do exist, bullying and harassment advisers should work with the persons involved on an individual basis according to the policy of the relevant organisation. If only a small number of people are directly involved in the major problem, it might be appropriate to use the tools with the rest of the team. However, this should only be done after careful consideration of the particular circumstances.

This tool is not designed to solve major bullying, harassment or other interpersonal problems between individuals at work. It should not be used with teams where such major problems are suspected.

Requirements for facilitators

Facilitators using this exercise with a work team should:

• be skilled and experienced at handling sensitive issues in group sessions

- have some experience of work and circumstances similar to those of the team or, at the least, have some knowledge about such a work setting
- be independent from the team and should not know any members of the team well
- not have had any problematic encounters with any members of the team in the past
- not be in a position where they could be suspected of having a political reason, or ulterior motives, for wanting to find out about working relationships in the team.

Role of the team manager

Team managers or leaders should not facilitate the team session(s), but should normally be included in the exercise as any other member of the team attending one of the group sessions. However, team managers will obviously be important in organising the whole exercise. They will need to:

- sanction use of the tools with the work team
- arrange for staff to be able to attend a group session, including adjusting duty rosters where necessary
- ensure that all members of the work team receive all the information
- reassure staff about the confidential nature of the exercise
- set the example of using the exercise constructively, not as an excuse to gossip or scapegoat individuals
- act on the agreed team action plan(s) following the exercise.

Preparation

Facilitators must take time to familiarise themselves with the tools and the procedures before embarking on this exercise to ensure that they are fully prepared to conduct the group sessions.

Facilitators and team managers should work together to:

- arrange suitable time(s) and venue(s) for the group session(s):
 - in many health and social care settings it will be logistically impossible for all members of a work team to come together for a single session. In this case, it will be necessary to hold several sessions in as short a time period as possible so that everyone in the team is focused on the issues simultaneously. Sessions should be arranged to allow the maximum number of people to attend a session. The total number of sessions required will depend on the size of the team and the nature of the work carried out. Group sessions should normally involve six to 12 people.
 - if practical, the venue should be chosen to ensure that the session is not interrupted by work demands. Ideally, it should provide comfortable, informal surroundings that allow privacy, and it should be readily accessible to all members of the team.
- give appropriate information to all staff:
 - a letter should be given to all members of the work team explaining why the exercise is being carried out and what is involved in terms of time and personal involvement
 - practical information about times and venues should be given to all staff well in advance of the session. If arrangements have to be altered at the last minute, it is important that everyone due to attend the session gets the new information. It can be very counterproductive if some individuals are missed (check part-time staff and any

- individuals who have unusual patterns of work)
- distribute the individual assessment tool (IAT) beforehand, if possible allowing individuals some time (30-45 minutes) and privacy to complete it while on duty
- encourage, but not pressurise, all members of the work team to complete the IAT and to attend the group session
- try to ensure that any members of the work team who find each other difficult to work with attend different group sessions
- ensure that staff counsellors and bullying/ harassment advisers are aware that the exercise is occurring.

Procedure

- The tools are designed for use by all members of a work team (ideally by overlapping work teams throughout a health care organisation).
- The exercise consists of two stages.
- These may be completed consecutively at a halfday workshop, or stage 1 may be carried out more informally by distributing the IAT a few days before the stage 2 group session.

Stage 1 (minimum 30-45 minutes)

All team members complete the IAT, and read the accompanying explanatory notes, to help them think about their own attitudes and behaviour towards other team members, both positive and negative. The IAT is the heart of the suite of tools and staff need to be given the chance to consider it carefully. The IAT may be distributed and completed before the main session, and is best completed in private. Facilitators should:

- make clear to all members of staff that individuals are not required to show their completed IAT to anyone else
- emphasise that the issues raised in the IAT are essential background for the group session

• if the IAT is completed as part of a workshop, allow at least 30-45 minutes so that members of staff do not feel rushed but have time to think about their behaviour.

Stage 2 (minimum one-and-a-half to two hours)

Stage 2 consists of a group session, which uses the team assessment tool and the agreed team action plan. During the session, facilitators should:

1. introduce the session (10-15 minutes)

- Deal with housekeeping for the session such as practicalities and safety issues.
- Explain the purpose and structure of the session.

1a. emphasise its constructive nature

- Establish confidentiality by agreeing that
 what is said in the session remains absolutely
 confidential to the people present, and that any
 information gained will not be used to harm
 any other member of the group in any way.
 Explain that the group will produce an agreed
 team action plan, which will be shared with
 other members of the work team, and passed
 to the operational team leader. Explain that
 participants take away their own assessment
 tools to dispose of how they wish.
- Ask participants whether they have all had the opportunity to complete the IAT. Provide spare copies in case some have not seen it.
- Ensure that participants have information about further support such as staff counsellors and bullying/harassment advisers.
- Invite and answer queries that participants have concerning the exercise.
- Ask participants to introduce themselves very briefly to ensure they are all known to each other.

2. complete the team assessment tool Section 1: team supportiveness (20-25 minutes)

- Distribute the team assessment tool (TAT) and ask participants to read through the introduction and to complete Section 1: team supportiveness individually (allow 10 to 15 minutes). This section examines and affirms positive team behaviour, and uses questions similar to those used in section 1 of the IAT. Again emphasise that individuals are not required to show their individual responses to anyone else.
- Invite discussion about positive ways in which the team works together.
- If you judge that it would be appropriate and safe to do so, ask whether participants were surprised by anything that was included in the IAT.

3. complete the team assessment tool Section 2: problem scenarios (30-40 minutes)

- Ask the group to discuss how the team would/ should manage the problem scenarios given in Section 2. If there is insufficient time to discuss all the scenarios, select those that are most appropriate to the particular team.
- Allow more general discussion, if appropriate.



4. complete the agreed team action plan (25-30 minutes)

- Ask the group to write in their own TAT suggestions about:
 - a) positive aspects of team climate already present and actions to maintain those positive aspects
 - b) aspects of team climate that need to be improved and actions to improve them
 - c) other comments they want to be recorded (allow five minutes)
- Share ideas and compile an agreed team action plan (ATAP) to enhance the team interpersonal climate, including any learning or training requirements.
- Check that the participants realise that this constitutes the feedback that may be communicated.
- To other members of the team who were not present at the session, and to managers.
- Check that all participants are in agreement with the ATAP. Remove anything that has not been agreed.

5. close the session (5-10 minutes)

- Ensure that all participants understand what will happen after the session in terms of followup of the ATAP(s) from the group session(s).
- Check that everyone is happy with the conclusion of the session and that no one has outstanding issues or queries concerning the session.
- Bring attention to the sources of support such as staff counsellors and bullying/harassment advisers.
- Re-emphasise the confidentiality of anything said in the session.
- Close the session.

Following each group session, facilitators should take a copy of the ATAP for their own records. After the final session for the work team, they should pass all the agreed team action plans together to the team manager along with a brief overview of the sessions, being careful to maintain strict confidentiality.

Facilitators and team managers should discuss how the agreed team actions plans should be shared with the rest of the team and taken forward.

Follow-up

Completion of the tools and participation in a group session will not resolve all problems overnight and forever. It is important that this exercise is seen as the beginning of an on-going process to enhance working relationships and produce a culture where bullying and harassment are less likely to occur. Both short-term and long-term follow-up are essential.

Short-term

If several group sessions have been held for a work team, the ATAPs should be shared with all members of the work team, but without breaking confidentiality (see Safeguards opposite). It is important in these circumstances that a short follow-up session is held to consolidate the findings of the separate groups and formulate an overall action plan using the follow-up progress form. A progress review might constitute part of a regular team meeting.

The overall action plan should be implemented as soon as practically possible in order to maintain the momentum initiated by the team exercise.

Long-term

This exercise should not be seen as a one-off, but should be repeated after a period of, say, two years, or if the work team changes substantially. Formulation of the overall action plan ought to include discussion of how the momentum of the exercise can be maintained in the interim.

Safeguards

One of the possible problems with the use of tools such as these is that any existing problems between members of staff might be exacerbated. This would be counterproductive. It is important that safeguards are built into the tools and their use.

Built in safeguards include:

- it is clear that individuals are not expected to show their completed assessment tools to anyone else. Individuals retain ownership of their own completed tools and may dispose of them as they wish
- the TAT is deliberately focused on positive and supportive behaviour rather than negative behaviour in order to promote supportive discussion
- the introductory notes stress that the TAT and discussion must be used constructively
- a statement of confidentiality is included in the introduction to the TAT.

Guidelines for use include:

- use an independent and skilled facilitator for the team session rather than using the operational team leader as the facilitator
- establish confidentiality by agreeing that what
 is said in the group session remains absolutely
 confidential to the people present, and that any
 information gained will not be used to harm any
 other member of the group in any way. Only the
 agreed team action plan may be shared with
 other members of the work team, and passed
 to managers for information
- emphasise throughout that the aims of the session are to encourage reflection and development, and to discourage a climate of blame and acrimony
- avoid the temptation to probe issues raised too deeply or to try to act as a counsellor. It is not always necessary for the facilitator to know all the details of a situation

- use progressive strategies to defuse situations that appear likely to lead to ill-feeling in the group session, such as:
 - acknowledging that the particular issue is causing some problems and steering discussion on to positive behaviours that are, or might be, used in relation to the issue
 - again, acknowledging the problem and moving discussion away from the contentious issue on to another subject
 - if the situation is becoming destructive, end the session, explaining why and reminding participants about confidentiality and the positive nature of the exercise
- ensure that staff counsellors and bullying/ harassment advisers are aware that the exercise is occurring
- provide details of staff counsellors and bullying/ harassment advisers to all team members involved in the sessions
- be aware that staff may choose to contact their trade union representative if they believe they are experiencing bullying and harassment.



3. References

RCN (2012) Beyond breaking point – a survey report or RCN members on health, wellbeing and stress. London: RCN.

Available online at www.rcn.org.uk/publications

RCN (2015) Bullying and harassment – guidance on the prevention and management of bullying and harassment in health and social care organisations. London: RCN.

Available online at www.rcn.org.uk/healthyworkplace

Andersson LM and Pearson CM (1999) *Tit for tat?* The spiralling effect of incivility in the workplace, Academy of Management Review, 24(3), 452-471.

Illing JC, Carter M, Thompson NJ, Crampton PES, Morrow GM, Howse JH, et al (2013) Evidence synthesis on the occurrence, causes, consequences, prevention and management of bullying and harassing behaviours to inform decision making in the NHS. Final report. NIHR Service Delivery and Organisational programme; 2013.

Available at

www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0006/.../FR-10-1012-01.pdf [accessed 29 June 2015]

Maben J, Peccei R, Adams M, Robert G, Richardson A, Murrells T and Morrow E (2012) Patients' experiences of care and the influence of staff motivation, affect and wellbeing. Final report. NIHR Service Delivery and Organisation Programme; 2012.

Available at www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0007/.../ES-08-1819-213.pdf (accessed 29 June 2015)



Appendices: documents for participants

(To be downloaded and photocopied by facilitator.)

A. Individual assessment tool

Introduction to the selfassessment tools

Ideally, health care workers enjoy good working relationships in supportive teams. However, most people encounter a mixture of positive and negative experiences in their relationships with colleagues.

Unfortunately, there is evidence that some health care workers feel that they are, or have been, subjected to bullying or harassment (RCN, 2012; Illing et al 2103). Working with care is a set of tools designed to help health care workers and teams to improve interpersonal relationships by increasing positive experiences and decreasing negative behaviours. In particular, they should help to produce a culture or team climate where bullying or harassment are unlikely to occur.

The tools are designed for use by all members of a work team, ideally by overlapping work teams at all levels of a health care organisation. The work team should hold a session dedicated to exploring and enhancing the team interpersonal climate. The exercise consists of two stages.

Stage 1

In Stage 1, the individual assessment tool (IAT) is completed by each team member to help them think about their own attitudes and behaviour towards other team members, both positive and negative. The IAT may be distributed and completed before the main session. Individuals are not required to show their responses to anyone else.

Stage 2

Stage 2 is a group discussion in which the team uses the team assessment tool (TAT) to examine and encourage positive team behaviour, and to consider how the team would (or should) manage some problem scenarios.

Team members share issues that arise from completing the tools, but should anonymise examples of behaviour or difficult situations to safeguard individual identity and prevent any scapegoating. This is to encourage reflection and development, and discourage a climate of blame and acrimony. Again individuals are not required to show their individual responses to anyone else.

At the end of the session, the group produces an agreed team action plan to enhance the team interpersonal climate. This constitutes feedback that will be communicated to other members of the team, and to managers, who were not present at the session. However, anything else that is said or written, or anything that happens during the session has to be kept absolutely confidential to the group present at the session.

Please complete the individual assessment tool before the team session, even though you will keep it private and will not have to disclose your answers. The IAT and its explanatory notes cover issues that are vitally important as background to the team session. At the team session, you will talk about positive behaviours and pool constructive ideas for enhancing the team climate. This exercise is intended to improve interpersonal relationships and supportiveness within teams.

However, it deals with some sensitive matters. If you need to talk to someone about any issues raised, or if you want further information, you should contact a staff counsellor or the bullying/harassment adviser.

Completing the individual assessment tool

This individual assessment tool encourages you to examine your own behaviour towards others, to acknowledge and affirm positive behaviour, and to recognise and address negative behaviour. Complete the IAT being as honest as you can. There is no scoring and you are not expected to show your completed IAT to anybody else. It is simply a tool to help you reflect on how you relate to others at work and identify your own strengths and weaknesses. Some explanatory notes are provided. It will probably take you 20-30 minutes to complete the tool and read the explanatory notes.

In this tool, colleague refers to anyone else you work with, whether senior, junior, or at a similar level to you, whether clinical or non-clinical, managerial or support staff.

A few of the questions relate to people with managerial or supervisory responsibilities. If you do not have such responsibilities, you may not be able to answer the question. However, it is still important that you think about the question so that you are aware of the behaviour involved.



Section 1: common positive behaviours

Read the following statements. Think about how they relate to your normal behaviour at work. For each statement, tick the box that best represents how much you agree or disagree. Some of these behaviours may seem trivial or so routine that you don't think about them. However, they are all-important. Such routine, positive behaviours create a friendly atmosphere and set a supportive culture (see explanatory notes overleaf).

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
 "I try to say 'thank you' whenever a colleague is helpful" 					
"I praise colleagues when I think they have done a good job"					
3. "I try to smile at colleagues when I pass them"					
 "I try to make sure that no one is left out of informal discussions or social occasions" 					
"I offer to make/fetch coffee/tea, etc for other members of the work team when they are very I	ousy"				
 "I make a point of welcoming new members of the team, helping them to learn the systems an procedures, and to get to know other staff" 	d				
"I regularly offer to help others when they are overworked or are having some other difficulty"					
8. "I often ask for other people's views and try to sh that I value those opinions"	OW				
9. "I always look out for the safety of other colleagu	es"				
10. "I respect other colleagues' jobs and the tasks the they have to carry out, and I always try to co-ope when those tasks affect me"					
11. "When problems occur, I always try to assume th best about all my colleagues, that is, I give them the benefit of the doubt"					
12. "I always try to respect the cultural differences a religious beliefs of my colleagues"	nd				
13. "I always try to be helpful to more senior colleag and carry out what they ask as well as I can"	ues				
14. "I try to be considerate to more junior members of staff and respect their abilities and willingnes to learn"	SS				

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongl Agree
15. "I try to help, or make allowances for, staff who have particular problems to overcome, such as the physical limitation or language difficulty"					
16. "I try to support colleagues whom I know to be having personal difficulties"					

Explanatory notes

Some of these notes may appear self-evident or obvious. But remember, ordinary, everyday behaviours, which we take for granted, set the working atmosphere and the team climate. Unfortunately, these behaviours can so easily go wrong without us noticing. We sometimes need to sit back and consider the routine and obvious.

Questions 1 and 3: creating a friendly atmosphere

Basic politeness and courtesy are fundamental to establishing and maintaining good working relationships. Sometimes, it's easy to forget and take colleagues for granted. Smiling can make all the difference to the atmosphere in a team and it will be noticed by patients and clients too. Remember that body language conveys at least as much information to others as the words that we say.

Questions 4 and 6: helping everyone to feel part of the team

In any work team, some people interact easily with their colleagues. Others find it more difficult for all sorts of reasons. Their job may mean that they work away from the rest of the team, they may lack confidence, or they may perceive themselves to be different in some way, such as age, gender, language or culture, or they may just be new to the team. It is easy to feel isolated and left out in such circumstances. The rest of the team making an effort to include them can make a real difference to how they feel and, in turn, how they behave positively towards others.

Questions 5, 7 and 9: looking after colleagues

Looking out for colleagues' physical safety and their state of mind helps to build trust and increase feelings of security. You may be able to help directly to ease someone's workload. If you can't help directly with the work, doing little things, such as fetching a drink, or whatever is appropriate, can help to ease the pressure on them and keep everyone's morale up. Many jobs in health care involve some risks from accident, violence or clinical mishap. If all members of the team keep alert for unsafe situations that might affect each other, then everyone will feel safer and more supported in their work.

Questions 1, 2, 10, 13-15: showing appreciation of the work that people do praise and thanks do not have to be effusive

Just a quick word is usually all that is needed. Cooperation with others to enable them to carry out their jobs also reinforces the value that you place on their work. In addition, if we co-operate with them, they are more likely to co-operate with us!

One source of conflict common in nursing is the value of experience versus qualifications, particularly with the changes in nurse training over recent years. Some very experienced nurses may resent younger, or less experienced, colleagues with higher formal qualifications and try to 'put them in their place'. Equally, some more recently qualified nurses may flaunt their qualifications and not give due respect to the experience of longer-serving colleagues. Respecting the different types of knowledge that come from academic study and practical experience, and pooling those resources graciously, is a much more constructive, supportive and comfortable way of behaving for all concerned.

Questions 8, 11, 12, 14, 15 and 16: demonstrating respect and consideration for other people

Showing consideration for colleagues and demonstrating that they are valued as people, not just as workers, builds a good basis for mutual respect, which benefits us as well as the other person.

Section 2: common negative behaviours

Complete this section in two steps.

Step 1

Consider the following list of behaviours. Which of them do you think constitute bullying or harassment, or both? For each of them tick column 1 and/or 2, or 3. (Don't get worried about the distinction between bullying and harassment as they do overlap.)

	Bullying	Harassment	Neither	Have had it done to me	Have done it
17. Blaming/criticising someone without having all of the evidence, that is, assuming the worst					
18. Not acknowledging the good work that colleagues have done					
19. Belittling colleagues' work when talking to others					
20. Voicing doubt about the integrity of a colleague without very good reason					
21. Making sarcastic or insinuating remarks to, or about, colleagues					
22. Verbally threatening a colleague					
23. Deliberately damaging the property of another member of staff					
24. Deliberately withholding information from another member of staff who needs it					
25. Ignoring another member of staff or excluding them from the conversation					
26. Pressurising other members of staff to do a task or produce work despite knowing that they have too much else to do					
27. Setting very tight deadlines for leave, training or promotion without giving the member of staff a good and valid explanation					
28. Refusing applications for leave, training or promotion without giving the member of staff a good and valid explanation					
29. Removing a responsibility from a member of staff without consulting with them first					

	Bullying	Harassment	Neither	Have had it done to me	Have done it
30. Using disciplinary or competence procedures as a threat to a member of staff					
31. Changing the requirements for staff performance ("shifting the goalposts") to keep staff on their toes					
32. Making rude comments or derogatory gestures to other members of staff					
33. Making jokes or negative comments about another member of staff's gender, sexual orientation, race, religion, age or disability					
34. Requiring a member of staff to do something inappropriate to their personal, cultural or religious beliefs					
35. Showing favouritism to one member of staff or a small group at the expense of others					
36. Joining in or laughing if other colleagues are teasing or making negative comments about someone					
37. Shouting or criticising when under pressure					
38. Using swear words or expressions that others might consider blasphemous, rude or offensive					
39. Making comments of a suggestive nature to other members of staff					
40. Using your charm or charisma to persuade someone to do something that should not be their first priority					

Every one of these behaviours could constitute bullying or harassment in some circumstances (see explanatory notes below).

Explanatory notes

Bullying or not?

All these behaviours (questions 17 to 40) may constitute bullying or harassment, or both, but not on every occasion.

Bullying normally involves behaviour that is damaging to the target and involves an imbalance of power, so that the target feels that he/she is not able to defend himself/herself effectively. Such power may be that of superior status in the organisation, but may also be possession of such things as information, knowledge and skills, access to resources or social position.

Bullying may occur from superior to subordinate (downward bullying), between co-workers (horizontal bullying), or from subordinate to superior (upward bullying), at any level of the organisational hierarchy.

Many definitions of bullying also require that the behaviour is persistent or repeated. However, some actions can be so severe and obvious that one occurrence would constitute bullying.

Bullying may be overt, that is, it is obvious to the person being bullied and to other people. However, it may also be covert, that is, either the damaging action or the person responsible for that action is

concealed from the target or from other people. Such covert bullying can be very subtle, and not always recognised as bullying behaviour. Look again at the list!

Conversely, some reasonable behaviour may appear to be bullying to the apparent target, because there is always the possibility of misunderstandings, mismatches in expectations or differences in culture. In these cases, either the apparent perpetrator is not aware that their actions are perceived as bullying, or has cause to feel that their actions are perfectly justified and reasonable. Some apparently bullying actions may have valid reasons behind them, for example, "using disciplinary or competence procedures as a threat to a member of staff" might be justified if the member of staff is deliberately underperforming. However, in this situation, the use of such procedures should be explained, and applied consistently and transparently.

So what behaviours may constitute bullying? They can be classified into five broad types (Rayner & Hoel, 1997):

1. Threat to professional status (see questions 17, 18, 19, 30 and 37)

This includes either undermining a colleague's ability to do their job effectively, or adversely affecting other people's perception of that colleague's ability to do the job. Such behaviours include: criticising unjustly; belittling a colleague's work (either to that person or to others); humiliating someone, perhaps through an apparently harmless joke related to their work performance; and using discipline or competence procedures as a threat.

2. Threat to personal standing (see questions 20-23, 32, 33, 36-39)

This relates to attacks on the person rather than their ability to do the job. These include actions that damage, or threaten to damage, a colleague physically or materially, or undermine their self-confidence. They also include actions that undermine other people's respect for a colleague. Examples are: throwing doubt on someone's personal honesty or integrity; using sarcasm and innuendo; making inappropriate jokes; teasing

persistently; threatening someone verbally or by gesture or posture; and using physical violence towards a person or their property.

3. Isolation (see questions 24, 25, 28, 35 and 36)

This covers any behaviour that causes people to feel that they are not one of the team or one of the in-crowd, that they are being treated differently from others. Isolation includes, for example: withholding information; freezing out, ignoring, excluding, intentionally turning your back on someone when they speak to you; refusing applications for leave, training, promotion without good reason; and showing favouritism to some at the expense of others.

4. Overwork (see questions 26, 27 and 40)

- a) This is a difficult area, particularly in health care where shortages of staff and resources may cause high workloads for teams. However, good management includes helping staff to prioritise work, and setting realistic limits on what can be achieved. Overworking staff includes: pressurising them to produce work; and setting impossible deadlines.
- b) Using charm or charisma or a bribe to get someone to overwork can also be a type of covert bullying.

5. Destabilisation (see questions 18, 28, 29 and 31)

This covers attempts to make it difficult for people to work effectively and have their work valued, including changing working arrangements without consultation. Examples are: shifting the goal posts, that is changing work targets without good reason and/or without adequate time or resources; undervaluing work; attempting to demoralise; and removing areas of responsibility without consultation.

Harassment or not?

What constitutes harassment or discrimination? Harassment and discrimination involve negative behaviour concerning a colleague's gender, sexual orientation, race, religion, age or disability.

Questions 33, 34, 38 and 39: behaviour that may be harassment and discrimination

Again the behaviour may be intentional or there may be misunderstanding and mismatch of expectations. Such negative behaviour includes: making negative comments or gestures; making inappropriate jokes; touching inappropriately; ignoring someone or excluding them from activities; and requiring them to carry out inappropriate tasks.

Step 2

Now look at the list again and consider:

a) whether you have ever experienced any of these.

b) whether you ever behave in any of these ways. Be honest with yourself. Remember that noone is perfect and very few of us can truly say that we have never done any of these. All of us behave sometimes in ways that we wish we had not.

Tick the relevant boxes in columns 4 and 5.

There are other behaviours that may not constitute bullying or harassment in themselves, but may help to create an atmosphere in which bullying and harassment are likely to occur (see explanatory notes below). Look at the following and indicate whether you ever behave in that way.

	Never	Occasionally	Sometimes	Often
41. Generally muttering or complaining about people behind their back				
42. Grumbling when asked to do something by a manager or senior colleague				
43. Gossiping about other members of staff				
44. Seeing something negative occurring and taking no action to stop it				
45. Not listening to others or not taking their difficulties seriously				
46. Demanding that other managers or supervisors meet a target without considering whether it is a realistic target for his/her team				
47. As a manager, not taking notice of warning signs in a team or an individual, such as high absenteeism, low productivity or morale, many people leaving				

Explanatory notes

Questions 41-47: behaviour that increases the likelihood of bullying or harassment occurring to others

Bullying and harassment may primarily be the fault of the direct perpetrator, but if others in the team know what is happening and do nothing about it, or even join in the bullying, they are also morally responsible. If nothing is done, the perpetrator and others may assume such

behaviour is acceptable. In these circumstances, negative behaviour can easily escalate or be adopted by others and a bullying culture develops. Cynical or macho group cultures can produce an atmosphere in which negative behaviour is tolerated or even encouraged.

Other behaviours may make individuals vulnerable to negative behaviour from others. Look at the following and indicate how typical they are of your behaviour. Again, be honest with yourself.

	Very untypical	Untypical	Typical	Very typical
48. Taking offence easily at things that other people say or do				
49. Keeping myself to myself rather than socialising with other members of the work team				
50. When relating events, putting myself in a good light compared to others, or boasting about my achievements				
51. Reacting aggressively to criticism				
52. Dressing differently to shock				
53. Not making an effort at work, cutting corners, or not pulling my weight				
54. Being unwilling to compromise				
55. Avoiding issues that might cause conflict				
56. Being unassertive				
57. Continually complaining or making negative or cynical comments				
58. Being fussy about accuracy even when it is not of great importance				
59. Arriving late, leaving early, taking long lunch breaks or taking days off, for no obvious reason				
60. Dominating, for example, by talking too much, by having to be in the right or always wanting to have the last word				

Explanatory notes

Questions 48-60: behaviour that is likely to increase vulnerability to bullying or harassment

There is some research evidence (see Zapf, 1999) that people who are low in assertiveness, who try to avoid conflicts and who make little effort to be part of a team may be more vulnerable to bullying than others. That doesn't mean that any of these characteristics cause bullying, but they may not protect against it.

Other behaviours that may increase vulnerability include always complaining or looking miserable. There is a certain amount of truth in the saying "laugh and the world laughs with you; cry and

you cry alone". Of course, when people are in real difficulty, others are generally inclined to listen and sympathise, but they can get fed up of continual complaining about relatively trivial issues.

Dressing differently from most of our colleagues can leave us vulnerable to criticism or teasing. Of course, there are cultural and religious reasons for people to wear particular types of clothes, and most colleagues respect those reasons. Indeed, it could be seen as harassment if they did not. However, if we dress differently in order to shock others in some way, then that may generate comment. Dressing in a revealing or sexy manner may also increase vulnerability to sexual harassment.

Section 3: friendly behaviours open to misinterpretation

Read the following statements. Think about how they relate to your normal behaviour at work. For each statement, tick the box that best represents how often you do the following.

	Never	Occasionally	Sometimes	Often
61. Touch the arm or shoulder of another member of staff when talking to them				
62. Hug or put an arm round another member of staff to be friendly				
63. Tell jokes to make everyone laugh				
64. Tease other members of staff about their height, size, appearance, accent, personal life, or other feature				
65. Compliment others on their appearance				
66. Pass on personal information about a member of staff when you feel someone else needs to know				

All of these can be very positive and can add to the friendliness in a team. However, all can be misused or misinterpreted, or may just be unwanted by some individuals. Use them all carefully.

Explanatory notes

Questions 61, 62 and 65: friendliness or sexual harassment?

Touching someone can be a real sign of friendship or empathy. Unfortunately, it can also be interpreted as sexual harassment if done to someone who doesn't welcome being touched. Judging who welcomes your touch, who tolerates it and who is offended by it can be difficult.

Similarly, complimenting someone on their appearance can be very supportive. However, if it is done too often or in a manner that could be construed as suggestive, for example, telling someone that they look sexy, it may be interpreted as sexual harassment.

Questions 63 and 64: friendly teasing or cruel tormenting?

Teasing can also be a sign of acceptance and friendship. However, unless we are really close friends, it is impossible to know whether another person enjoys our teasing or simply tolerates it. Teasing often concerns the characteristics that we are most sensitive about and can increase that sensitivity. Similarly, jokes can be great fun and can lift the morale of the team in awkward circumstances. However, if the jokes come at the expense of a member of the team, they can be very destructive.

Question 66: friendly concern or malicious gossip?

Passing on personal information about a colleague to others may occasionally be necessary and justified. However, unless we have their permission to pass it on, we may be doing them a great disservice. Colleagues need to be able to trust our discretion knowing that they can tell us things without them being passed on to others. If we are not careful, it is easy to slip into enjoying idle or malicious gossip.

Section 4: retrieval behaviours

Sometimes, particularly at very busy times, things go wrong and we realise that we have acted unreasonably in some way, or our actions have been misunderstood. Alternatively, someone else may have acted unreasonably. What can we do to retrieve the situation? Read the following statements. Think about how they relate to your behaviour at work after a problematic situation. For each statement, tick the box that best represents how much you agree or disagree.

	Strongly Agree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongl Agree
67. "I usually apologise when I realise that I have been in the wrong"					
68. "I usually have no difficulty accepting apologies from a colleague and moving on"					
69. "After problems have occurred, I usually find it useful to discuss calmly what went wrong, with the others concerned"					
70. "If a colleague us criticised for something I know he/she didn't do, I stick up for him/her and try to explain the misunderstanding"					
71. "If I find it difficult to like a colleague, I try to hide it and still work well with them"					
72. "I always try not to apportion blame after a problem has occurred but to find out what has happened and learn from it"					

Explanatory notes

Questions 67 to 68: apology and forgiveness

Realistically, all of us behave at times in ways that we wish we had not. Alternatively, we may have offended someone completely unintentionally. In all working relationships there has to be a level of acceptance of each other's fallibility, and mechanisms by which unfortunate situations can be retrieved.

Some of these mechanisms involve: apologising; accepting apologies; empathy; discussion and turning problem situations into opportunities for learning.

Recognising that we have been in the wrong and apologising can so often be all that is needed to repair a relationship with a colleague. The sooner it is done, the better it usually is. But sometimes it can be very difficult both to apologise and to accept apologies. However, not doing either of these can lead to deep bitterness. Trying to empathise, that is to understand the other person's point of view, or their reasons for behaving in a particular way, can help in the process of forgiveness.

Questions 69 and 72: discussion and learning

Empathy can also help to avoid the destructiveness of always wanting to blame someone else whenever something goes wrong.

A blame culture can also be avoided by openly discussing problems and mistakes, and using them as opportunities to learn.

Question 71: putting differences aside

Occasionally, it is not possible to reach agreement over a particular incident, or we find that there is a colleague whom we just find it difficult to like. However, it is usually possible to find a way to continue to work productively with them and to treat them courteously, by trying to concentrate on their positive qualities. This makes for a happier atmosphere for all than continually bringing up the grievance and, over time, they may redeem themselves, if we give them the chance.

Question 70: explaining misunderstandings

Retrieving situations where others have been blamed for something that was not their fault can be a difficult and brave undertaking. However, it may prevent someone becoming victimised, and it helps to demonstrate and consolidate team trust and cohesion.

Section 5: reflection

Now take some time to consider the issues you have thought about while completing this tool.

Read through the explanatory notes if you have not already done so.

Most of us find that taking stock of our behaviour in this way is a salutary experience. It makes us realise that many of the routine things we do are very positive, but others are not quite so commendable. Also there may be things that we don't do that we should try to do.

- What did you find encouraging? What are your strengths?
- What behaviours do you need to be careful about? What are your weaknesses?
- What positive things could you try to do more often?

Remember that we all make mistakes sometimes and behave less well than we would like. However, if we acknowledge this and apologise, we can usually retrieve the situation.

This exercise is intended to help improve interpersonal relationships. However, if you found it difficult or distressing, contact a staff counsellor or the bullying/harassment adviser.

References

RCN (2015) Beyond breaking point – a survey report of RCN members on health, wellbeing and stress. London: RCN.

Available online at www.rcn.org.uk/publications

Illing JC, Carter M, Thompson NJ, Crampton PES, Morrow GM, Howse JH, et al (2013) Evidence synthesis on the occurrence, causes, consequences, prevention and management of bullying and harassing behaviours to inform decision making in the NHS. Final report. NIHR service delivery and organisational programme; 2013.

Available at www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0006/.../FR-10-1012-01.pdf (accessed 29 June 2013)

Rayner C and Hoel H (1997) A summary review of literature relating to workplace bullying. *Journal of Community and Applied Social Psychology*, 7, pp181-191.

Zapf (1999) Organisational, work group related and personal causes of mobbing/bullying at work, International Journal of Manpower, 20 (1-2), pp70-85.



B. Team assessment tool

Introduction

You should already have been given an individual assessment tool (IAT) before this session, and had opportunity to read about it and complete it. If you have not seen an IAT, please ask to see one. The IAT is designed to help each person to think about their own attitudes, perceptions and behaviours at work. You will not be expected to show your completed IAT to anybody else.

This team assessment tool looks more at the behaviour of the work team as a whole, and is designed to examine and encourage positive team behaviours. Section 1 includes some of the same questions that you applied to yourself in the IAT. Section 2 provides some problem scenarios for you to consider how the team would, or should, manage them. Members of the group then share ideas about issues arising from completion of the tools with behaviour and circumstances anonymised to a level that safeguards individual identity. This is to encourage reflection and development, and discourage blame and acrimony.

At the end of the discussion session, the group produces an agreed team action plan to enhance working relationships in the team, including any learning or training requirements.

The TAT is designed with the following objectives:

- to help you think about the behaviour and attitudes of your work team as a whole
- to acknowledge and affirm positive behaviours and attitudes in the team
- to facilitate sharing and discussion of generalised issues
- to consider how the team would/should manage some problem scenarios.

Please remember that the main purpose of this tool is to encourage reflection and development, and to discourage blame and acrimony.

Complete Section 1 being as honest as you can. There is no scoring and you do not need to show your completed tool to anybody else. When everybody has completed their inventory, you will be encouraged to share and discuss your views. In the discussion, try to concentrate on team supportiveness rather than on wider organisational issues.

Confidentiality

It is very important that everyone feels free to voice their opinions without the fear that what they say will be passed on to others, or used against them. At the end of the session, the group will draft an agreed team action plan that will be communicated to any members of the work team who were not present and to managers.

However, anything else that was said or written, or anything that happened during the session must be kept absolutely confidential to the group present at the session. Each participant should take away their own team assessment tool and dispose of it as they see fit.

Section 1: team supportiveness

This looks again at ordinary, everyday behaviours, which we tend to take for granted but which determine the working atmosphere. Recognising the supportive things that we do as a team can be very productive. Encouraging these positive behaviours enhances both the team climate and our overall enjoyment of work.

In this tool, colleague and similar terms refer to anyone else you work with, whether senior, junior, or at a similar level to you, whether clinical or non-clinical, managerial or support staff.

You have already thought about the following behaviours and applied them to yourself in the individual assessment tool. Now consider how typical each behaviour is for the work team as a whole.

		Not at all typical	Slightly typical	Moderately typical	Very typical	Extremely typical
1. Saying "thank you" when a colleagu	e is helpful					
2. Praising each other for doing a good	d job					
3. Smiling at each other when we pass	5					
 Making sure that no one is left out of discussions or social occasions 	f informal					
Offering to help others when they ar are having some other difficulty	re overworked or					
6. Offering to make/fetch coffee/tea, et when they are very busy	tc for others					
 Making a point of welcoming new m the team, helping them to learn the procedures, and to get to know othe of staff 	systems and					
8. Asking for other people's views and we value their opinions	showing that					
Sharing all appropriate information colleagues who might need it	with other					
10. Looking out for the safety of other c	olleagues					
11. Respecting each other's jobs and th have to carry out, and trying to co-o those tasks affect us						
12. When problems occur, assuming the other, that is, giving them the benefi						
13. Respecting each other's cultural dif religious beliefs	ferences and					
14. Being helpful to more senior collead out what they ask as well as can	gues and carrying					
15. Being considerate to more junior co respecting their abilities and willing						
16. Helping, or making allowances for, s particular problems to overcome su limitation or a language difficulty						
17. Respecting and acknowledging each and expertise	n other's abilities					
18. Respecting each other's limitations help when asked.	and trying to					

	KI	CII LUI		17	
	Not at all typical	Slightly typical	Moderately typical	Very typical	Extremely typical
19. People feel understood and accepted by each other					
20. People in the team co-operate in order to help develop and apply new ideas					
21. People can openly share their ideas and feelings					
22. People can talk freely to each other about difficulties they are having in performing the task and know that the other person will listen					
23. People know that if they share ideas and task-related problems their team-mates would respond constructively and caringly	i				
24. People trust and respect each other as co-workers					
25. People consider each other to be trustworthy					
Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i>	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	
25. People consider each other to be trustworthy Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i> Are there positive aspects of your work team that h	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	
Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i>	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	
Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i>	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	
Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i>	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	
Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i>	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	
Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i>	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	
Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i>	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	
Note: This is adapted from Kivimaki M and Elovainio M (development and psychometric properties, <i>Journal of Oc</i>	cupational an	d Organizatio	nal Psychology,	72, pp241-2	

Section 2: problem scenarios

Consider the following scenarios. Discuss how the team might deal with them.

Scenario 1

A member of the team is involved in an accident that causes him/her to be off sick for several months. How would the team react initially and how would they handle it as the months went by?

Scenario 2

Some members of the team think that one of their colleagues is not doing his/her fair share of the work. How would/should this be handled by the team?

Scenario 3

Several members of the team have family commitments that are taken into account when

Section 3: suggestions for team action plan

Think about the discussions that you have had

the duty roster is worked out. A member of staff without such commitments feels that he/ she always has to do the unpopular shifts and is starting to resent this. How might this come to light and how would/should this be handled by the team?

Scenario 4

During hectic work periods, one member of the team regularly loses his/her temper and shouts at a colleague. How would/should the team handle this?

Scenario 5

A new member joins the team. He/she has a different cultural background from everyone already in the team, for example, different race, language, religion or country of origin. How would the team treat this person?

and then list, in the first tables, positive aspects of the team interpersonal climate that you think are present and should be encouraged, and actions that the team should take to maintain them.

Positive aspects of team climate already present	Action to maintain positive aspects

In the next table, list any aspects of the team interpersonal climate that you think need to be improved, and actions that the team should take to improve them.

		_		
N		٠		C
	-		_	70

Date of session
Think about the discussions you have had and agree what may be shared with other members of the team or managers who were not present at this session. In the first table below, list positive aspects of the team interpersonal climate that the participants agree are present and should be encouraged, and actions that the team should take to maintain them.
Action to maintain positive aspects
rsonal climate that the participants agree need to be mprove them.
Actions to improve them
ents, write them in the box below.

D. Follow-up progress form

Name of team:	
Dates of follow-up meetings:	
This follow-up progress form lists specific actions agreed by the whole team, along with estimated times for implementation and space to record	

This follow-up progress form lists specific actions agreed by the whole team, along with estimated times for implementation and space to record progress. These actions should be based on the agreed team action plans from the group sessions and any reports from the facilitator, and should be realistic and achievable in the short term.

Time frame	Progress
	Time frame

E. Monitoring form 1

(To be completed at the end of the group session.)

The RCN would like to monitor the use of these tools to ensure that they are effective. You are asked to complete the following form and return to the address opposite:

3. "Completing the IAT made me think hard about the

way I behave to other people at work"

Working with Care Evaluation, Employment Relations Department, Royal College of Nursing, 20 Cavendish Square, London W1G ORN

Confidentiality

to the a	ddress opposite:				nitoring forr ring team at		een only by th	ne
Name	of team or key cor	ntact name (optiona	l)	Date of g	roup sessio	n		
Your w	ork team							
	rate how supportir rking with care exer	ng you have found yo cise).	our work	team to b	oe over the p	ast six mo	nths (not inc	luding
	Not at all supportive	Slightly supportive	Mode suppo		Very supporti	ve	Extremely	
	indicate how many	working relationsh Working with care e	ips have	e been pos			over the pas	st six
	Few positive	Some positive	Mo posi	st tive	Almost a positive		All positive	
The inc	dividual assessm	nent tool						
Please	indicate how much	you agree with the	followir	ıg stateme	ents:			
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
		ed to make me more a haviours are importar						
beha	npleting the IAT shou aviours that might al assment happen"							

IhΔ	aralin	exercise
1116	qı oup	CYCI CIDE

Please indicate how much you agree with the following statements:

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
 "Taking part in the group se our working relationships" 	ssion should enhance					
2. "Taking part in the group se uncomfortable experience"						
3. "Taking part in the group se appreciate other people's p						
Please indicate your status i	n the work team:					
Very junior	Fairly In junior	termediate	Fairly senior		Very senior	
Please indicate where your t	eam works:					
NHS hospital	Independe	nt hospital		GP practi	ce	
NHS community	Independe	nt care home		Hospice/c	charity	
NHS other	Other inde	pendent		Other		
Please add any further comr	ments about the exer	cise in the box	below.			

F. Monitoring form 2

(To be completed after approximately one month.)

The RCN is keen to monitor the use of these tools to ensure that they are effective. You are asked to complete the following form and return return to the address opposite:

Working with Care Evaluation, Employment Relations Department, Royal College of Nursing, 20 Cavendish Square, London W1G ORN

Confidentiality

This monitoring form will be seen only by the monitoring team at the RCN.

Name of team or key contact name (optional))	Date of g	group sessior	1		
Your wo	rk team							
Please ra	ite how supporti	ve you have found yo	ur wor	k team to l	be since the $\it k$	Vorking wi	<i>th care</i> exerci	se.
			[
	A lot less supportive	Less supportive		ually portive	More supportiv	/e	Much more	
Please in exercise.	dicate how work	king relationships hav	ve bee	n in your w	ork team sind	ce the <i>Wor</i>	rking with care	è
	Much less positive	Less positive		ually sitive	More positive		Much more positive	
Please in	dicate how muc	h you agree with the	follow	ing statem	ents:			
				Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
	e more aware tha iours are importa	t ordinary positive nt"						
		oid behaviours that mig ssment to happen"	ht					
3. "We are	e more considera	te to other people at wo	ork"					
	g part in the exerc ng relationships"	ise has enhanced our						

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
5.	"Taking part in the exercise has made our relationships more difficult"					
6.	"Taking part in the exercise has helped us to appreciate other people's points of view"					
7.	"The work team agreed to an overall team action plan following the group sessions"					
8.	"The team has taken steps to implement the overa	all				
	ease indicate the steps that have been taken to					
Pl	ease indicate your status in the work team:					
	Vor. Fairly In	ntermediate	Fairly		Voru	
	Very Fairly Ir junior junior	itermediate	Fairly senio		Very senior	
Ρl	ease indicate where your team works:					
NI	HS hospital Independe	nt hospital		GP practio	ce	
NI	HS community Independe	nt care home		Hospice/c	harity	
NI	HS other Other inde	pendent		Other		

Please add any furth	Please add any further comments about the exercise in the box below.					

Thank you for completing this monitoring form.



The RCN represents nurses and nursing, promotes excellence in practice and shapes health policies

September 2015

RCN Online www.rcn.org.uk

RCN Direct www.rcn.org.uk/direct

0345 772 6100

Published by the Royal College of Nursing 20 Cavendish Square London W1G ORN

020 7409 3333



www.facebook.com/royalcollegeofnursing



www.twitter.com/thercn



www.youtube.com/rcnonline

Publication code: 004 972



To find out more about the RCN's healthy workplace campaign, visit www.rcn.org.uk/healthyworkplace